arXiv:1209.3351v1 [math.CA] 15 Sep 2012

Optimal two parameter bounds for the Seiffert mean

Yu-Ming Chu, Miao-Kun Wang and Ye-Fang Qiu

Department of Mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou 313000, China
Correspondence should be addressed to Yu-Ming Chu, chuyuming@hutc.zj.cn

Abstract: In this note we obtain sharp bounds for the Seiffert mean in terms of a two parameter family of means. Our results generalize and extend the recent bounds presented in the Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2012) and Abstract and Applied Analysis (2012).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26E60.
Keywords: Seiffert mean, root mean square, contraharmonic mean.

1 Introduction

For $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$, root mean square $S(a, b)$ and contraharmonic mean $C(a, b)$ are defined by

$$T(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2\arctan[(a-b)/(a+b)]}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$S(a,b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2+b^2}{2}} \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$C(a,b) = \frac{a^2+b^2}{a+b}, \tag{1.3}$$

respectively. It is well known that the inequalities

$$T(a,b) < S(a,b) < C(a,b)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Recently, $T(a,b)$, $S(a,b)$ and $C(a,b)$ have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities and properties for these means can be found in the literature [1-8].

For $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu \in (1/2, 1)$, very recently Chu et al. [9, 10] proved that the inequalities

$$S(\alpha a+(1-\alpha)b, \alpha b+(1-\alpha)a) < T(a,b) < S(\beta a+(1-\beta)b, \beta b+(1-\beta)a) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$C(\lambda a+(1-\lambda)b, \lambda b+(1-\lambda)a) < T(a,b) < C(\mu a+(1-\mu)b, \mu b+(1-\mu)a) \quad (1.5)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq (1+\sqrt{16/\pi^2-1})/2$, $\beta \geq (3+\sqrt{6})/6$, $\lambda \leq (1+\sqrt{4/\pi-1})/2$ and $\mu \geq (3+\sqrt{3})/6$.

Let $t \in (1/2, 1)$, $p \geq 1/2$ and

$$Q_{t,p}(a,b) = C^p(ta+(1-t)b, tb+(1-t)a)A^{1-p}(a,b), \quad (1.6)$$

where $A(a,b) = (a+b)/2$ is the classical arithmetic mean of a and b. Then from (1.2), (1.3) and (1.6) we clearly see that

$$Q_{t,1/2}(a,b) = S(ta+(1-t)b, tb+(1-t)a),$$

$$Q_{t,1}(a,b) = C(ta+(1-t)b, tb+(1-t)a)$$

and $Q_{t,p}(a,b)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $t \in (1/2, 1)$ for fixed $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

It is natural to ask what are the greatest value $t_1 = t_1(p)$ and the least value $t_2 = t_2(p)$ in $(1/2, 1)$ such that the double inequality

$$Q_{t_1,p}(a,b) < T(a,b) < Q_{t_2,p}(a,b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ and $p \geq 1/2$. The aim of this paper is to answer this question, our main result is the following Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. If $t_1, t_2 \in (1/2, 1)$ and $p \in [1/2, \infty)$, then the double inequality

$$Q_{t_1,p}(a,b) < T(a,b) < Q_{t_2,p}(a,b) \quad (1.7)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $t_1 \leq 1/2 + [\sqrt{(4/\pi)^{1/p}-1}]/2$ and $t_2 \geq 1/2 + \sqrt{3p}/(6p)$.

Remark 1.1. If we take $p = 1/2$ and $p = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, then inequality (1.7) reduces to inequalities (1.4) and (1.5), respectively.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we need two lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1. (see [11, Theorem 1.25]). For $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, let $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$, and be differentiable on (a, b), let $g'(x) \neq 0$ on (a, b). If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on (a, b), then so are

$$\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)}.$$

If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in [0, 1]$, $p \geq 1/2$ and

$$f_{u,p}(x) = p\log(1+ux^2) - \log x + \log\arctan x. \tag{2.1}$$

Then

(1) $f_{u,p}(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $3pu \geq 1$;
(2) $f_{u,p}(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $1 + u \leq (4/\pi)^{1/p}$.

Proof. By (2.1) and simple computations one has

$$\lim_{x\to 0} f_{u,p}(x) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\lim_{x\to 1} f_{u,p}(x) = p\log(1+u) + \log\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{u,p}{}'(x) =& \frac{2pux}{1+ux^2} + \frac{1}{(1+x^2)\arctan x} - \frac{1}{x} \\ =& \frac{u[(2p-1)x^2(1+x^2)\arctan x + x^3] - [(1+x^2)\arctan x - x]}{x(1+x^2)(1+ux^2)\arctan x} \\ =& \frac{(2p-1)x^2(1+x^2)\arctan x + x^3}{x(1+x^2)(1+ux^2)\arctan x}[u - g(x)], \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{(1+x^2)\arctan x - x}{(2p-1)x^2(1+x^2)\arctan x + x^3}.$$

Let $g_1(x) = \arctan x - x/(1+x^2)$, and $g_2(x) = (2p-1)x^2 \arctan x + x^3/(1+x^2)$. Then

$$g(x) = \frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}, \quad g_1(0) = g_2(0) = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\frac{g_1{}'(x)}{g_2{}'(x)} = \frac{1}{(2p-1)[(1+x^2)^2 \arctan x]/x + px^2 + p + 1}. \tag{2.6}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the function $x \to [(1+x^2)^2 \arctan x]/x$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1,\pi)$, hence (2.6) implies that $g_1{}'(x)/g_2{}'(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$. Therefore, $g(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$ follows from Lemma 2.1 and (2.5) together with the monotonicity of $g_1{}'(x)/g_2{}'(x)$. Moreover, making use of l'Hôpital's rule we get

$$\lim_{x\to 0} g(x) = \frac{1}{3p} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to 1} g(x) = \frac{\pi-2}{(2p-1)\pi+2}. \tag{2.8}$$

We divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1 $u \geq 1/(3p)$. Then from (2.4) and (2.7) together with the monotonicity of $g(x)$ lead to conclusion that $f_{u,p}(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$. Therefore $f_{u,p}(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0,1)$ follows from (2.2) and the monotonicity of $f_{u,p}(x)$.

Case 2 $u \leq (\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2]$. Then from (2.4) and (2.8) together with the monotonicity of $g(x)$ we clearly see that $f_{u,p}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$. Therefore $f_{u,p}(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0,1)$ follows from (2.2) and the monotonicity of $f_{u,p}(x)$.

Case 3 $(\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2] < u < 1/(3p)$. Then from (2.4), (2.7) and (2.8) together with the monotonicity of $g(x)$ we know that there exists $x_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $f_{u,p}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,x_0)$ and strictly increasing in $(x_0,1)$.

Let $h_p(u) = \lim\limits_{x\to 1} f_{u,p}(x)$. Then it follows from (2.3) that

$$h_p(u) = p\log(1+u) + \log\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

Cases 1 and 2 implies that

$$h_p(\frac{1}{3p}) = p\log\left(1+\frac{1}{3p}\right)+\log\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) > 0 \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$h_p\left(\frac{\pi-2}{(2p-1)\pi+2}\right) = p\log\left[1+\frac{\pi-2}{(2p-1)\pi+2}\right]+\log\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) < 0. \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.9) we clearly see that $h_p(u)$ is strictly increasing in $[(\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2], 1/(3p)]$, then (2.10) and (2.11) lead to conclusion that there exists $u_0=(4/\pi)^{1/p}-1 \in ((\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2], 1/(3p))$ such that $h_p(u)<0$ for $u\in[(\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2], u_0)$ and $h_p(u)>0$ for $u\in(u_0, 1/(3p)]$, where $u_0=(4/\pi)^{1/p}-1$ is the unique solution of the equation $h_p(u)=0$ in $[(\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2], 1/(3p)]$. Therefore, $f_{u,p}(x)<0$ for all $x\in(0,1)$ if and only if $(\pi-2)/[(2p-1)\pi+2]<u<u_0=(4/\pi)^{1/p}-1$ follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $f_{u,p}(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since both $Q_{t,p}(a,b)$ and $T(a,b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a>b$. Let $x=(a-b)/(a+b)\in(0,1)$. Then from (1.1) and (1.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\log\left(\frac{Q_{t,p}(a,b)}{T(a,b)}\right) &= \log\left(\frac{Q_{t,p}(a,b)}{A(a,b)}\right)-\log\left(\frac{T(a,b)}{A(a,b)}\right)\\ &= p\log\left[1+(1-2t)^2x^2\right]-\log x+\log\arctan x. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 2.2 and (2.12).

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11071059, 11071069, 11171307), and the Innovation Team Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province (Grant no. T200924).

References

[1] W. N. Jr. Anderson, M. E. Mays, T. D. Morley and G. E. Trapp, The contraharmonic mean of HSD matrices, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods, 1987, **8**(4): 674-682.

[2] H. J. Seiffert, Aufgabe β16 , Die Wurzel, 1995, **29**: 221-222.

[3] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, Math. Pannon., 2003, **14**(2): 253-266.

[4] Y. Lim, The inverse mean problem of geometric and contraharmonic means, Linear Algebra Appl., 2005, **408**: 221-229.

[5] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean II, Math. Pannon, 2006, **17**(1): 49-59.

[6] M.-K. Wang, Y.-F. Qiu and Y.-M. Chu, Sharp bounds for Seiffert means in terms of Lehmer means, J. Math. Inequal., 2010, **4**(4): 581-586.

[7] P. A. Hästö, A monotonicity property of ratios of symmetric homogeneous means, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 2002, **3**(5), Article 71, 23 pages.

[8] J. Sandor, Trigonometric and hyperbolic inequalities, http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0859.

[9] Y.-M. Chu, S.-W. Hou and Z.-H. Shen, Sharp bounds for Seiffert mean in terms of root mean square, J. Inequal. Appl., **2012**, 2012:11, 6 pages.

[10] Y.-M. Chu and S.-W. Hou, Sharp bounds for Seiffert mean in terms of contraharmonic mean, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012, Article ID 425175, 6 pages.

[11] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1997.